Case: 4:18-cr-00725-RLW Doc. #: 21 Filed: 11/02/18 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 46

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America v.))
DANIEL PIZAC) Case No. 4:18CR0725 RLW/DDN
Defendant Defendant	
<u> </u>	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL - Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court	t's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
\square (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
\square (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case: 4:18-cr-00725-RLW Doc. #: 21 Filed: 11/02/18 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 47

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
⊠By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
⊠By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ✓ History of alcohol or substance abuse ✓ Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence

 $\hfill \Box$ Lack of financially responsible sureties

☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case: 4:18-cr-00725-RLW Doc. #: 21 Filed: 11/02/18 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 48 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The U.S. Pretrial Services Report that was prepared on September 21, 2018 is incorporated here by reference with the exception that defendant objects to the information provided by the U.S. Attorney's Office regarding allegations that may relate to the instant offense. See p. 7. Defendant argued for bond and stated that he is not a flight risk. He owns his home and he has lived in the Saint Louis area since 2011. He works for cash, helping to rehab homes. He can post a secured bond. He is amenable to all conditions of release that might be imposed. He has a supportive brother. He was attempting to complete his required registration as a sex offender when he was arrested in this case. The government argued that defendant faces a mandatory minimum sentence if convicted, which gives him incentive to flee. There is a presumption of detention in this case. He has a history of criminal sex with a minor and lewd acts. His work history is not stable and he was not compliant with his obligation to register as a sex offender. He is currently "wanted" for Sodomy Second Degree by the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department as of April 16, 2018. Defendant attends monthly support meetings for sexual compulsives. The undersigned informed the parties that a home visit by the U.S. Pretrial Office would be conducted at defendant's residence. The proposed residence was determined to not be a suitable location for defendant's release. Defendant has a serious criminal history involving lewd acts and sexual activity with a minor. He is wanted on another matter involving an alleged sexual crime. He lacks verifable employment. He has a history of substance abuse, which if continued, could impair his judgment and ability to comply with conditions of release. Defendant poses a significant danger to the community. Therefore, he should be detained. **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention** The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Date: 11/02/2018 /s/Noelle C. Collins

United States Magistrate Judge